

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Hitchen
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286289
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Hitchen
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286289
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>1. PLAN 35 Red line is wrong to 64 St Johns Road and all properties including 62.</p> <p>2. 1100 houses and daycare center. health and financial and schools are not included.</p> <p>3. Pedestrian and Cycle paths are not mentioned.</p> <p>4. the Guided Busway needs to be agreed to use with plans. price payable?</p> <p>5. Mort Lane and other routes ,including Sale Lane/Mosley Common Road/Bridgewater Road, are already very congested. Cars being used from the proposed houses on PLAN 35 is only going to make all these roads even more congested.</p> <p>6. We have only seen 4,air pollution/noise/risk/eco. as shown on the proposed plans.</p> <p>7. Wigan council have in the past houses and business. 1100 houses and Daycare.</p> <p>8. Daycare center and PLAN 35 but other red line is outside is called Start Well.</p> <p>9. Gas pipeline, you are doing a line on the west side. Please consider/provide.</p> <p>10. Electric. We have no details PLAN 35 regarding this. Also electric cars .</p> <p>11. Drainage . We have had no details of the plans .</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the	<p>1. Red Line in section 35 put back for 64 St Johns Road and other properties.</p> <p>2. Subject to the law we lower number of houses . Consideration for the extra cars for noise and air pollution , is any thought being given to electric cars charging stations.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>3. Consider if gp's, dentists,shops and schools are going to be able to cope with the influx of new residents or reducing the number of dwellings being proposed.</p> <p>4. Pedestrian and cycle paths are good for clean air but decide on routes and costs.</p> <p>5. The bus way is very good but make sure the service is increased but not at the publics expense.</p> <p>6. The current cars and other vehicles are bad for e.g.increased air and noise pollution as is the lack of road crossings for pedestrians , could you consider planning more roads to improve the current infrastructure for the increased volume of cars which will result in 1100 or less dwellings being built.</p> <p>7. Please provide details for gas ,electric,drainage including where each is going to be laid.</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Hitchen</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Philip</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286289</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>Wigan - Green Belt Additions</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>Sound</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>1. PLAN 35 North of Mosley Common is being considered. There are no reports in the above plans.</p> <p>2. You mentioned the importance of the north side of PLAN 35. We have nothing to look at for the provision of trees hedgerows and greenbelt areas. similarly birds animals and insectsand.</p> <p>3. All of PLAN 35, other than north side we have had no provisions being made for trees, hedgerows and greenbelt areas,also birds, animals and insects .</p> <p>4. we have not seen any plans about the Honksford Brook or flood plane areas unless they are in the eco report .</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>1. Subject to the law, do plan for the provisions trees ,hedgerows,greenbelt area ;birds,animals and insect and anything else to be done for greenbelt [north of PLAN 35]</p> <p>2. Subject to the law do plan for creating a natural habitat for all the birds ,animals and insects which are native to the area. Consider if the trees and hedgerows have protection orders on them.</p> <p>3. We look forward to seeing your amended plans on Honksford Brook.</p>